

NICHOLAS D. KAYHAN (SBN: 129878)
 RICHARD H. POULSON (SBN: 178479)
FILICE BROWN EASSA & McLEOD LLP
 1999 Harrison Street, 18th Floor
 Oakland, CA 94612
 Tel: (510) 444-3131
 Fax: (510) 839-7940
Nicholas.Kayhan@filicebrown.com
Richard.Poulson@filicebrown.com

Attorneys for Defendants
 THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY and
 DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MONTARA WATER AND SANTITARY
 DISTRICT,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY; et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. C 05-02480 EMC

**STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO
 ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND
 TO COMPLAINT; ORDER**

Plaintiff MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT ("Plaintiff") and Defendants
 THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY and DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC (collectively "Dow
 Defendants"), SHELL OIL COMPANY dba SHELL CHEMICAL COMPANY ("Shell"),
 WILBUR-ELLIS COMPANY and OLIN CORPORATION, by and through their counsel of
 record, hereby stipulate pursuant to Northern District of California Local Rule 6-1, as follows:

1. On May 17, 2005, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint in the state action entitled
 MONTARA WATER AND SANITARY DISTRICT v. THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY, et
 al., Case No. GGC-05-438267 in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County
 of San Francisco. The earliest date any of the defendants received a copy of the Amended
 Complaint was May 18, 2005.

2. On June 17, 2005, the Dow Defendants and Shell removed the case to this Court.

1 3. On June 20, 2005, counsel for Plaintiff informed counsel for the Dow Defendants of
2 Plaintiff's intention to file a motion to remand this action to state court.

3 4. Given Plaintiff's upcoming Motion to Remand this action to state court, the parties
4 wish to extend the time for the defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint.

5 5. Because this stipulation will not alter the date or any event or any deadline already
6 fixed by Court order, Local Rule 6-1(a) permits this enlargement without a Court order.

7 WHEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED that the defendants will be required to answer or
8 otherwise respond to the complaint as follows:

9 A) Should this Court deny Plaintiff's remand motion, defendants shall have
10 until twenty (20) days following notice of the order on the remand motion to
11 answer or otherwise respond to the complaint.

12 B) Should this Court grant Plaintiff's remand motion, defendants shall answer
13 or otherwise respond to the complaint pursuant to the applicable provisions
14 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

15 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

16 DATED: June 21, 2005

SHER LEFF LLP

By: 

VICTOR M. SHER
TODD E. ROBINS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
CITY OF OCEANSIDE

22 DATED: June 23, 2005

FILICE BROWN EASSA & McLEOD LLP

By: 

NICHOLAS D. KAYHAN
RICHARD H. POULSON
Attorneys for Defendants
THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY and
DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC

1 DATED: June 23, 2005

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP

By: 

LAWRENCE P. RIFF
JAY E. SMITH
Attorneys for Defendants
SHELL OIL COMPANY
dba SHELL CHEMICAL COMPANY

7 DATED: June __, 2005

BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP LLP

By: _____

RICHARD C. COFFIN
Attorneys for Defendant
WILBUR-ELLIS COMPANY

12 DATED: June __, 2005

SEVERSON & WERSON LLP

By: _____

CYNTHIA L. MITCHELL
PETER C. LYON
Attorneys for Defendant
OLIN CORPORATION

1 DATED: June __, 2005

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP


By: _____

LAWRENCE P. RIFF
JAY E. SMITH
Attorneys for Defendants
SHELL OIL COMPANY
dba SHELL CHEMICAL COMPANY

7 DATED: June 23, 2005

BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP LLP

By: _____


RICHARD C. COFFIN
Attorneys for Defendant
WILBUR-ELLIS COMPANY

12 DATED: June __, 2005

SEVERSON & WERSON LLP

By: _____

CYNTHIA L. MITCHELL
PETER C. LYON
Attorneys for Defendant
OLDN CORPORATION

1 DATED: June __, 2005

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP

2
3 By: _____

LAWRENCE P. RIFF
JAY E. SMITH
Attorneys for Defendants
SHELL OIL COMPANY
dba SHELL CHEMICAL COMPANY

4
5
6
7 DATED: June __, 2005

BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP LLP

8
9 By: _____

RICHARD C. COFFIN
Attorneys for Defendant
WILBUR-ELLIS COMPANY

10
11
12 DATED: June 23 2005

SEVERSON & WERSON LLP

13
14 By: _____

Cynthia L. Mitchell
CYNTHIA L. MITCHELL
PETER C. LYON
Attorneys for Defendant
OLIN CORPORATION

15
16
17
18 IT IS SO ORDERED:

